arXiv:1704.08355v1 [math.AT] 26 Apr 2017

KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 00(0000), 000-000
http://dx.doi.org/00.0000/KMJ.0000.00.0.000
pISSN 1225-6951 eISSN 0454-8124
© Kyungpook Mathematical Journal

Equivalence of cyclic p-squared actions on handlebodies

Jesse Prince-Lubawy
Department of Mathematics, University of North Alabama, Florence, Alabama, USA
e-mail : jprincelubawy@una.edu

Abstract. In this paper we consider all orientation-preserving $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-actions on 3-dimensional handlebodies V_g of genus $g > 0$ for p an odd prime. To do so, we examine particular graphs of groups $(\Gamma(\mathrm{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v}))$ in canonical form for some 5-tuple $\mathrm{v} = (r, s, t, m, n)$ with $r + s + t + m > 0$. These graphs of groups correspond to the handlebody orbifolds $V(\Gamma(\mathrm{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v}))$ that are homeomorphic to the quotient spaces $V_g/\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ of genus less than or equal to g. This algebraic characterization is used to enumerate the total number of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-actions on such handlebodies, up to equivalence.

1. Introduction

A $\mathbf{G}$-action on a handlebody V_g, of genus $g > 0$, is a group monomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Homeo}^+(V_g)$, where $\mathrm{Homeo}^+(V_g)$ denotes the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of V_g. Two actions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 on V_g are said to be equivalent if and only if there exists an orientation-preserving homeomorphism h of V_g such that $\phi_2(x) = h \circ \phi_1(x) \circ h^{-1}$ for all $x \in \mathbf{G}$. From [3], the action of any finite group $\mathbf{G}$ on V_g corresponds to a collection of graphs of groups. We may assume these particular graphs of groups are in canonical form and satisfy a set of normalized conditions, which can be found in [2].

Let $\mathrm{v} = (r, s, t, m, n)$ be an ordered 5-tuple of nonnegative integers. The graph of groups $(\Gamma(\mathrm{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v}))$ in canonical form (see [4] for the case $p = 2$ and $p^2 = 4$) determines a handlebody orbifold $V(\Gamma(\mathrm{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v}))$. The orbifold $V(\Gamma(\mathrm{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v}))$ is constructed in a similar manner as described in [2]. Note that the quotient of any $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-action on V_g is an orbifold of this type, up to homeomorphism.

An explicit combinatorial enumeration of orientation-preserving $\mathbb{Z}_4$-actions on V_g, up to equivalence, is given in [4]. In this work we will be interested in examining the orientation-preserving geometric group actions on V_g for the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ for p an

Received March 00, 2014; revised May 00, 2014; accepted November 00, 2014.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 57M60.
Key words and phrases: handlebodies, orbifolds, graph of groups, orientation-preserving, cyclic actions.

odd prime.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank John Kalliongis for his thoughtful advice on polishing my paper and Daniel Branscomb for his insight on pair counting found in Section 3 of the paper.

2. Combinatorial Argument

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $S(k) = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_k\}$ to be the set such that y_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$, is an element of a finite group G. Let $y_{i_j} \in S(k)$, where $1 \leq i_j \leq k$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$. Define $C(j) = \{(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, y_{i_3}, \ldots, y_{i_j}) \mid i_1 \leq i_2 \leq i_3 \leq \cdots \leq i_j\} \subseteq (S(k))^j$ to be the set of ordered j-tuples. Define $C(j,l) = \{(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, y_{i_3}, \ldots, y_{i_j}) \mid i_1 = k-(l-1)\} \subseteq C(j)$ to be the set of ordered j-tuples with a fixed first coordinate. We shall use the following lemma to discuss the order of the set $C(j)$.

Lemma 2.1. *$C(j,l) \cap C(j',l) = \emptyset$ if and only if $j \neq j'$.*

Now define $\mathscr{C}(j) = |C(j)|$ and $\mathscr{C}(j,l) = |C(j,l)|$. Then $\mathscr{C}(j) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}(j,l)$. Furthermore, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.2. *$\mathscr{C}(j+1,l) = \sum_{u=1}^{l} \mathscr{C}(j,u)$.*

Theorem 2.3. *$\mathscr{C}(j) = A_{k_j}$ where $A_{k_1} = k$ if $j = 1$, $A_{k_2} = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ if $j = 2$, and $A_{k_j} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left[\binom{j-3+i}{j-3} \sum_{u=1}^{k-i} u \right]$ if $j \geq 3$.*

Proof. Let $j = 1$. Then $\mathscr{C}(1) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}(1,l) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} 1 = k$. Now let $j = 2$. Then $\mathscr{C}(2,l) = l$ so that $\mathscr{C}(2) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}(2,l) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} l = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$. Finally let $j \geq 3$. Then $\mathscr{C}(j) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left[\binom{j-3+i}{j-3} \sum_{u=1}^{k-i} \right]$. To see this we will use induction on j. For the base case, let $j = 3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{C}(3) &= \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}(3,l) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{u=1}^{l} \mathscr{C}(2,u) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{u=1}^{l} u = \sum_{u=1}^{1} u + \sum_{u=1}^{2} u + \cdots + \sum_{u=1}^{k} u \\ &= \sum_{u=1}^{k} u + \cdots + \sum_{u=1}^{2} u + \sum_{u=1}^{1} u = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left[\binom{i}{0} \sum_{u=1}^{k-i} u \right].\end{aligned}$$

For the inductive step, assume $\mathscr{C}(j) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left[\binom{j-3+i}{j-3} \sum_{u=1}^{k-i} u \right]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{C}(j+1) &= \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}(j+1,l) = \mathscr{C}(j+1,1) + \mathscr{C}(j+1,2) + \cdots + \mathscr{C}(j+1,k) \\
&= \sum_{q=1}^{1} \mathscr{C}(j,q) + \sum_{q=1}^{2} \mathscr{C}(j,q) + \cdots + \sum_{q=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}(j,q) = \sum_{i=0}^{0} \left[\binom{j-3+i}{j-3} \sum_{u=1}^{1-i} u \right] \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{1} \left[\binom{j-3+i}{j-3} \sum_{u=1}^{2-i} u \right] + \cdots + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left[\binom{j-3+i}{j-3} \sum_{u=1}^{k-i} u \right] \\
&= \left[\binom{j-3}{j-3} + \binom{j-3+1}{j-3} + \binom{j-3+2}{j-3} + \cdots + \binom{j-3+(k-1)}{j-3} \right] \sum_{u=1}^{1} u \\
&+ \left[\binom{j-3}{j-3} + \binom{j-3+1}{j-3} + \cdots + \binom{j-3+(k-2)}{j-3} \right] \sum_{u=1}^{2} + \cdots \\
&= \left[\binom{j-2+(k-1)}{j-2} \right] \sum_{u=1}^{1} u.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that we will define $A_{k_0} = 1$ for $j = 0$.

3. The 5-tuple v$= (r, s, t, m, n)$ with $s + t > 0$

The orbifold fundamental group of $V(\Gamma(\mathrm{v}, \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v})))$ is an extension of $\pi_1(V_g)$ by the group $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$. We may view the fundamental group as a free product $G_1 * G_2 * G_3 * \cdots * G_{r+s+t+m+n}$, where G_i is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We establish notation similar to [4] for the generators of $\pi_1^{orb}(V(\Gamma(\mathrm{v}, \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v}))))$. Furthermore, from [4], we may define an equivalence relation and, using techniques found in [2], prove the following.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\mathbf{v} = (r, s, t, m, n)$. *The set* $\mathscr{E}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}, V_g, V(\Gamma(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v})))$ *is in one-to-one correspondence with the set* $\Delta(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}, V_g, V(\Gamma(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v})))$ *for every* $g > 0$.

To prove the three main theorems in this paper, we count the number of elements in the delta set and use the one-to-one correspondence given in Lemma 3.1 to give the total count for the set $\mathscr{E}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}, V_g, V(\Gamma(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v})))$. We resort to the following lemma to help count the number of elements in the delta set. The proof is an adaptation from [2].

Lemma 3.2. *If* $\alpha \in Aut(\pi_1^{orb}(V(\Gamma(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v}))))$, *then* $\alpha = h_*$ *for some orientation-*

preserving homeomorphism $h : V(\Gamma(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v})) \longrightarrow V(\Gamma(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v}))$ *if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(b_j) &= x_j b_{\sigma(j)}^{\varepsilon_j} x_j^{-1}, \\
\alpha(c_j) &= x_j b_{\sigma(j)}^{v_j} c_{\sigma(j)}^{\varepsilon_j} x_j^{-1}, \\
\alpha(d_k) &= y_k d_{\tau(k)}^{\delta_k} y_k^{-1}, \\
\alpha(e_l) &= u_l e_{\gamma(l)}^{\varepsilon'_l} u_l^{-1}, \\
\alpha(f_l) &= u_l e_{\gamma(l)}^{w_l} f_{\gamma(l)}^{\varepsilon'_l} u_l^{-1}, \text{and} \\
\alpha(g_q) &= z_q g_{\xi(q)}^{\delta'_q} z_q^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

for some $x_j, y_k, u_l, z_q \in \pi_1^{orb}(V(\Gamma(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v})))$; $\sigma \in \sum_s$, $\tau \in \sum_t$, $\gamma \in \sum_m$, $\xi \in \sum_n$; $\varepsilon_j, \delta_k, \varepsilon'_l, \delta'_q \in \{+1, -1\}$; *and* $0 \leq v_j < p^2$, $0 \leq w_l < p$.
Note that Σ_l *is the permutation group on* l *letters.*

Note that from [1], a generating set for the automorphisms of $\pi_1^{orb}(V(\Gamma(\mathrm{v}, \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v}))))$ is the set of mappings $\{\rho_{ji}(x), \lambda_{ji}(x), \mu_{ji}(x), \omega_{ij}, \sigma_i, \phi_i\}$ whose definitions may be found in [1]. The first five maps are realizable. The realizable ϕ_i's are of the form found in Lemma 3.2 and will be used in the remaining arguments of this paper.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $\mathbf{v} = (r, s, t, m, n)$ *for* $s+t > 0$ *and let* $\lambda : \pi_1^{orb}(V(\Gamma(v, \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v})))) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ *be a finite injective epimorphism. There exists a finite injective epimorphism* $\lambda' : \pi_1^{orb}(V(\Gamma(v, \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v})))) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ *equivalent to* λ *such that the following hold:*

1. $\lambda'(a_1) = \cdots = \lambda'(a_r) = 0$.
2. $1 \leq \lambda'(b_1) = x_1 \leq \lambda'(b_2) = x_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda'(b_s) = x_s \leq \frac{p^2-1}{2}$ *and* $gcd(x_i, p^2) = 1$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq s$.
3. $\lambda'(c_1) = \cdots = \lambda'(c_s) = 0$.
4. $1 \leq \lambda'(d_1) = y_1 \leq \lambda'(d_2) = y_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda'(d_t) = y_t \leq \frac{p^2-1}{2}$ *and* $gcd(y_j, p^2) = 1$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq t$.
5. $p \leq \lambda'(e_l) = u_l \leq (\frac{p-1}{2})p$ *and* $gcd(u_l, p^2) = p$ *for all* $1 \leq l \leq m$.
6. $0 \leq \lambda'(f_l) \leq p - 1$ *for all* $1 \leq l \leq m$.
7. $p \leq \lambda'(g_1) = z_1 \leq \lambda'(g_2) = z_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda'(g_n) = z_n \leq (\frac{p-1}{2})p$ *and* $gcd(z_k, p^2) = p$ *for* $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. Let $\lambda : \pi_1^{orb}(V(\Gamma(\mathrm{v}, \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v})))) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ be a finite injective epimorphism. Without loss of generality, assume that $s > 0$. Then there exists an element k_i such that $k_i \lambda(b_{r+1}) = \lambda(a_i)$. Note that if $s = 0$, choose d_{r+1}. Property (1) follows by composing λ with the realizable automorphism $\prod \lambda_{(r+1)i}((\lambda(b_{r+1}))^{k_i})$. (Note that this is a handle slide). Similarly, there exists an element ℓ_i such that $\ell_i \lambda(b_i) = \lambda(c_i)$. Property (3) follows by composing λ with the realizable automorphism $\prod \phi_i$, where ϕ_i sends the generator c_i to the element $b_i^{-\ell_i} c_i$ and fixes b_i.

(Note that this is a Dehn twist). Now cut the set $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} - \{0\}$ in half to get the two sets $\{1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p^2-1}{2}\}$ and $\{\frac{p^2+1}{2}, \ldots, p^2 - 1\}$. Notice that each element in the first set is the inverse of an element in the second. Property (2) follows by composing λ with the realizable automorphism $\prod \phi_i$, where ϕ_i sends the generator b_i to b_i^{-1} provided $\lambda(b_i) \in \{\frac{p^2+1}{2}, \ldots, p^2 - 1\}$. (Note that this is a spin). We may then compose with the realizable automorphism $\prod \omega_{ij}$, which interchanges handles if necessary. A similar argument shows Property (4) and (7). Property (5) follows from a spin, if necessary. Now since $|\lambda(e_i)| = p$, we may apply a Dehn twist, if necessary, to ensure that $0 \leq \lambda(f_i) \leq p - 1$.

□

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $\mathbf{v}= (r, s, t, m, n)$ *with* $s + t > 0$. *If* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ *acts on* V_g, *then* $g - 1 = p^2 (r + s + m - 1) + \left(p^2 - 1\right) t + \left(p^2 - p\right) n$. *The number of equivalence classes of* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$*-actions on* V_g *such that* $V_g/\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} = V(\Gamma(\mathbf{v}), G(\mathbf{v}))$ *is the product* $A_{(\frac{p(p-1)}{2})_s} \cdot A_{(\frac{p(p-1)}{2})_t} \cdot A_{(\frac{p(p-1)}{2})_m} \cdot A_{(\frac{p-1}{2})_n}$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.1, and noting that the orbifold fundamental group is a free product, we see that the count of the delta set is the product of the count of distinct mappings λ satisfying the result of Lemma 3.3. Due to this, we only need to consider the generators b_i, d_i, e_i, f_i, and g_i. We will first count the number of distinct mappings restricted to the generator b_i. To do so, note that there are $\frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ generators of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p^2-1}{2}\}$. Since $\lambda(b_i)$ are ordered, we may think of them as s-tuples in the set $C(\frac{p(p-1)}{2})$. Applying Theorem 2.3, we see that there are $A_{(\frac{p(p-1)}{2})_s}$ distinct λ's. A similar argument works for the generators d_i and g_i. (Note that there are only $\frac{p-1}{2}$ multiples of p in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p^2-1}{2}\}$). Now to count the number of distinct mappings for the generators e_i and f_i, we will note that $(e_i, f_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$. When $m = 1$, there are $\frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ distinct order pairs that satisfy Properties (5) and (6) from Lemma 3.3. We may relabel these ordered pairs by y_i and create an m-tuple in the set $C(\frac{p(p-1)}{2})$. Note that there exists a realizable action when doing this. Again, applying Theorem 2.3, we see that there are $A_{(\frac{p(p-1)}{2})_m}$ distinct λ's. □

4. The 5-tuple $\mathbf{v}= (r, 0, 0, m, n)$ with $r > 0$

We will now consider the 5-tuple $\mathrm{v}= (r, 0, 0, m, n)$ with $s + t = 0$ and $r > 0$. For the following lemma, we will need to account for two cases: (1) there exists at least one generator f_i that is mapped to a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ and (2) otherwise. Note that these maps are not equivalent. (This is a modification of Lemma 2.3 from [4]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $\mathbf{v} = (r, 0, 0, m, n)$ *for* $r > 0$ *and let* $\lambda : \pi_1^{orb}(V(\Gamma(v, \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v})))) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ *be a finite injective epimorphism. There exists a finite injective epimorphism* $\lambda' : \pi_1^{orb}(V(\Gamma(v, \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{v})))) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ *equivalent to* λ *such that the following hold:*

1. $\lambda'(a_1) = \cdots = \lambda'(a_r) = 0$.
2. $p \leq \lambda'(e_l) = u_l \leq (\frac{p-1}{2})p$ *and* $gcd(u_l, p^2) = p$ *for all* $1 \leq l \leq m$.
3. $1 \leq \lambda'(f_1) = y_1 \leq p-1$.
4. $0 \leq \lambda'(f_j) \leq p-1$ *for all* $2 \leq j \leq m$.
5. $p \leq \lambda'(g_1) = z_1 \leq \lambda'(g_2) = z_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda'(g_n) = z_n \leq (\frac{p-1}{2})p$ *and* $gcd(z_k, p^2) = p$ *for* $1 \leq k \leq n$.

OR

1. $1 \leq \lambda'(a_1) = x_1 \leq \frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ *and* $gcd(x_1, p^2) = 1$.
2. $\lambda'(a_2) = \cdots = \lambda'(a_r) = 0$.
3. $p \leq \lambda'(e_l) = u_l \leq (\frac{p-1}{2})p$ *and* $gcd(u_l, p^2) = p$ *for all* $1 \leq l \leq m$.
4. $\lambda'(f_1) = \cdots = \lambda'(f_m) = 0$.
5. $p \leq \lambda'(g_1) = z_1 \leq \lambda'(g_2) = z_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda'(g_n) = z_n \leq (\frac{p-1}{2})p$ *and* $gcd(z_k, p^2) = p$ *for* $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Note that the first set of properties hold when there exists at least one generator f_i that is mapped to a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$. To show Properties (1)-(5) in both cases, we use similar techniques found in the proof of Lemma 3.3. That is, handle slides, spins, interchanging handles, and Dehn twists. From this we get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $\mathbf{v}= (r, 0, 0, m, n)$ *with* $r > 0$. *If* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ *acts on* V_g, *then* $g - 1 = p^2(r + m - 1) + (p^2 - p)n$. *The number of equivalence classes of* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$*-actions on* V_g *such that* $V_g/\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} = V(\Gamma(\mathbf{v}), G(\mathbf{v}))$ *is the sum* $\frac{(p-1)^2}{2} \cdot A_{(\frac{p(p-1)}{2})_{m-1}} \cdot A_{(\frac{p-1}{2})_n} + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \cdot A_{(\frac{p-1}{2})_m} \cdot A_{(\frac{p-1}{2})_n}$.

Proof. The first portion of the sum follows from the case there exists at least one generator f_i that is mapped to a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$. In this case we can again think of $(e_i, f_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$. We may relabel as y_i, noting that there are $\frac{(p-1)^2}{2}$ possibilities for y_1. The count of the remaining $m-1$ slots follows from Theorem 3.4. (Similarly for counting g_i). The second portion of the sum involves counting a_1. However, we can see that there are $\frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ possibilities. The remaining values follow from Theorem 3.4. □

5. The 5-tuple $\mathbf{v}= (0, 0, 0, m, n)$ with $m > 0$

Finally we will consider the 5-tuple $\mathrm{v}= (0, 0, 0, m, n)$ with $r + s + t = 0$ and $m > 0$. Given a finite injective epimorphism λ, it is clear that we may obtain an equivalent λ' that satisfies Properties (2)-(5) of the first case of Lemma 4.1. The following theorem is a modification of Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $\mathbf{v}= (0,0,0,m,n)$ *with* $m>0$. *If* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ *acts on* V_g, *then* $g-1 = p^2(m-1)+\left(p^2-p\right)n$. *The number of equivalence classes of* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$*-actions on* V_g *such that* $V_g/\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} = V(\Gamma(\mathbf{v}), G(\mathbf{v}))$ *is the product* $\frac{(p-1)^2}{2}\cdot A_{(\frac{p(p-1)}{2})_{m-1}}\cdot A_{(\frac{p-1}{2})_n}$.

6. The number of equivalence classes of $\mathbb{Z}_{25}$-actions on V_{26}

Fix $p=5$ and $g=26$. Now g must satisfy the genus equation $g=1-|G|\chi(\Gamma,G)$. Therefore we see that $50=24t+20n+25(r+s+m)$. Solving this equation we see that $t=0$, $n=0$, and $r+s+m=2$. This leads to the following ordered 5-tuples: (0,2,0,0,0), (2,0,0,0,0), (0,0,0,2,0), (1,1,0,0,0), (1,0,0,1,0), and (0,1,0,1,0). Using Theorems 3.4, 4.2, and 5.1, the counts for the following ordered 5-tuples are 55, 10, 55, 10, 18, and 100, respectively. Thus the total number of equivalence classes of $\mathbb{Z}_{25}$-actions on V_{26} is 55+10+55+10+18+100=248.

References

[1] D.I. Fuchs-Rabinovitch, *On the automorphism group of free products*, I, Mat. Sb., **8**(1940), 265–276.

[2] J. Kalliongis and A. Miller, *Equivalence and strong equivalence of actions on handlebodies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **308(2)**(1988), 721–745.

[3] D. McCullough, A. Miller, and B. Zimmerman, *Group actions on handlebodies*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **59(3)**(1989), 373–416.

[4] J. Prince-Lubawy, *Equivalence of* $\mathbb{Z}_4$*-actions on handlebodies of genus* g, Kyungpook Math. J., **56(2)**(2016), 577–582.